0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:1.170 Cai, Rebecca Let me share my deck.

0:0:4.220 --> 0:0:4.420 Cai, Rebecca Right.

0:0:6.990 --> 0:0:8.430 Cai, Rebecca Do you see my desktop?

0:0:13.140 --> 0:0:13.340 Sakamoto, Steve M. Yes.

0:0:12.540 --> 0:0:13.890 Cai, Rebecca I can't see you.

0:0:14.180 --> 0:0:14.970 Cai, Rebecca Ohh, perfect.

0:0:14.980 --> 0:0:15.320 Cai, Rebecca Thank you.

0:0:16.760 --> 0:0:24.910 Cai, Rebecca So agenda first, we want to provide some updates on the policies and the tools research we made.

0:0:24.960 --> 0:0:44.670 Cai, Rebecca According to the Act 167 and after that we want to have a discussion with you to see what shall we include in the data and AI literacy training that we're going to publish on data.hawaii.gov.

0:0:45.320 --> 0:0:53.0 Cai, Rebecca So intention is to for the state employees to be able to get the badges, but also it's open to the public, right? 0:0:53.360 --> 0:0:54.760 Cai, Rebecca Because it's a public portal.

0:0:55.910 --> 0:1:3.200

Cai, Rebecca

Uh, the next topic would be the action plan for the new Senate Resolution 69, the related to open data.

0:1:3.830 --> 0:1:9.340 Cai, Rebecca So would love your input on what should be the action item to.

0:1:10.270 --> 0:1:15.350 Cai, Rebecca We need to take care this sanity resolution and then the next meeting planning.

0:1:16.890 --> 0:1:20.70 Cai, Rebecca Now let's go back to the update. We made.

0:1:20.140 --> 0:1:20.850 Cai, Rebecca Quite good.

0:1:20.860 --> 0:1:21.310 Cai, Rebecca Good.

0:1:21.540 --> 0:1:24.930 Cai, Rebecca Ohh, progress on several of the standards.

0:1:25.440 --> 0:1:31.890

Cai, Rebecca

The reason is because there are a lot of departments are asking you know when can we have the Co pilot.

0:1:32.420 --> 0:1:48.650

Cai, Rebecca

And we realize in order to have the last deliverable acceptable data using AI, which we really need before we roll out the copilot to all the state employees, we really want to need to define the data quality data.

0:1:48.660 --> 0:1:57.520

Cai, Rebecca

Acquity uh data classification and the privacy because all of them would roll into the acceptable data use in AI.

0:1:57.530 --> 0:2:14.460

Cai, Rebecca

For example, what kind of quality data can I use for a I if I use a poor quality data or I'm not sure about the quality of the data, then how much should I trust the AI output right so it eventually it's still the human intelligence making decision.

0:2:14.770 --> 0:2:18.950

Cai, Rebecca We want to make sure that we are using AI in the educated way.

0:2:20.120 --> 0:2:42.660

Cai, Rebecca

Umm for the update here, 1 interesting UM perspective is that for data equity standards there is a meeting by the State City O's in July end of July on data ACQUITY hosted by UCLA Data Equity Research Center.

0:2:43.600 --> 0:2:45.830 Cai, Rebecca And I have reached out to them.

0:2:45.900 --> 0:3:4.120

Cai, Rebecca

They are assigning TA to us to help us on this and in the meantime the White House is updating the Evidence Act for UM data quality terms and to take care of the data.

0:3:4.130 --> 0:3:5.430 Cai, Rebecca Equity for disability.

0:3:6.550 --> 0:3:6.880 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:3:6.890 --> 0:3:9.740 Cai, Rebecca So that's happening in July, August as well. 0:3:10.20 --> 0:3:11.360 Cai, Rebecca So we might.

0:3:11.730 --> 0:3:21.840 Cai, Rebecca Uh, have a initial version of data equity out and then we will update as we hear more feedback from, you know, guidelines from the federal level.

0:3:23.610 --> 0:3:27.80 Cai, Rebecca So that's the update on that on the policies.

0:3:28.400 --> 0:3:44.480 Cai, Rebecca Umm, we should be able to have all the five with the checkmarks be ready for review before the next data Task force meeting and I will reach out to you for your feedbacks before that.

0:3:46.730 --> 0:3:47.680 Cai, Rebecca Any question on that?

0:3:51.600 --> 0:4:17.210

Cai, Rebecca

Alright, let's move to the two research I was a I was having a conversation with some leading software vendors in the past several weeks and a common theme not only among the government agencies and also among the private sector companies that no company, no organization is on one single cloud.

0:4:18.20 --> 0:4:19.450 Cai, Rebecca So that's just a fact.

0:4:24.80 --> 0:4:33.700

0:4:19.460 --> 0:4:24.70 Cai, Rebecca I guess it's because there are different functions there for private sector.

Cai, Rebecca They would have supply chain, they would have finance, they would have marketing and each one of them there are certain vendors are leading in those area. 0:4:33.950 --> 0:4:41.830

Cai, Rebecca

So there's just no vendor leading in all, so they in order to optimize the operation of that function.

0:4:42.0 --> 0:4:48.510

Cai, Rebecca

So they would choose whichever vendor, whichever technology that would best serve their needs.

0:4:49.0 --> 0:4:50.990 Cai, Rebecca Same for the government organizations.

0:4:51.260 --> 0:4:55.750 Cai, Rebecca If we see this slide on the left, I think I use this analogy.

0:4:55.760 --> 0:5:0.80 Cai, Rebecca When I was attending the Google and the Salesforce, yeah, I conference.

0:5:1.440 --> 0:5:5.60 Cai, Rebecca Each department is like a island of its own.

0:5:6.260 --> 0:5:7.740 Cai, Rebecca Uh for Hawaii, right?

0:5:8.70 --> 0:5:19.640

Cai, Rebecca

And it's everything each department has, including its data and AI services and the cloud services are optimized for its own operation.

0:5:20.70 --> 0:5:27.40 Cai, Rebecca It's not optimized to support other departments because it has its own purpose, right?

0:5:27.50 --> 0:5:37.610

Cai, Rebecca

The department, however, there are needs when we see about the from the citizens, less if we look at the citizen, the citizen would need service across different departments.

0:5:38.680 --> 0:5:49.180

Cai, Rebecca

In that case, we would need at the state level to help consolidate the data and make the data flow about the apartment.

0:5:49.390 --> 0:5:51.460 Cai, Rebecca So it's easy for U.S.

0:5:51.750 --> 0:5:57.540 Cai, Rebecca Government of all the agencies, all the departments to serve the citizen better.

0:5:58.50 --> 0:6:3.400 Cai, Rebecca So that's where we have this cloud sitting on top of all the islands.

0:6:3.410 --> 0:6:33.910

Cai, Rebecca

We're not replacing any existing cloud or existing systems within each island because they are serving their own specific purposes, but we're just having a cloud on top of everything in Federated way to share facilitated data sharing and facilitate interdepartmental, you know, decision making and evidence based policymaking when we need the data across different departments.

0:6:34.400 --> 0:6:36.650 Cai, Rebecca So that's the idea of this too.

0:6:37.180 --> 0:6:43.120 Cai, Rebecca Just want to show the visualization of it, so the fact at the bottom we started earlier, right?

0:6:43.400 --> 0:6:46.550 Cai, Rebecca You have a many, many data silos.

0:6:46.940 --> 0:6:47.820 Cai, Rebecca That's the fact.

0:6:48.470 --> 0:6:57.280 Cai, Rebecca Whether you look at it, any states or any federal government agencies or even private sector leading private sector companies is the same.

0:6:57.830 --> 0:7:4.620 Cai, Rebecca You know, there is no single consolidated area of all data.

0:7:4.920 --> 0:7:6.400 Cai, Rebecca There are always efforts.

0:7:6.410 --> 0:7:9.140 Cai, Rebecca Go doing that, but it's it's a journey for everyone.

0:7:9.550 --> 0:7:13.160 Cai, Rebecca So and it's very complicated storage landscape.

0:7:13.230 --> 0:7:15.80 Cai, Rebecca We have cloud and we have mainframe.

0:7:15.410 --> 0:7:16.860 Cai, Rebecca We even have Cobo, right?

0:7:17.90 --> 0:7:18.350 Cai, Rebecca And we could have excel.

0:7:18.980 --> 0:7:26.550

Cai, Rebecca

I save a lot of data on my laptop with Excel and it could be picture, right, our eligibility documents.

0:7:26.560 --> 0:7:35.780

Cai, Rebecca

We submit financial statement, financial information of household ohm, My driver license, my Social Security card.

0:7:36.10 --> 0:7:37.950 Cai, Rebecca There are PDF files that it could be.

0:7:38.50 --> 0:7:47.640 Cai, Rebecca It's very complicated and there existence of multiple cloud services already adopted by each department, optimized for their own operation.

0:7:48.440 --> 0:7:53.630 Cai, Rebecca So it's perfect for the department or or uh operation just at the state level.

0:7:53.640 --> 0:8:1.130 Cai, Rebecca When we look at the state level, we need to help them talk to each other more smoothly and also the vendors.

0:8:1.140 --> 0:8:4.230 Cai, Rebecca They have different mil AI capabilities.

0:8:4.440 --> 0:8:9.290 Cai, Rebecca If you look at the ranking of the AI models right, I know many of them properly are tracking.

0:8:9.660 --> 0:8:16.510

Cai, Rebecca

Some of them are leading for several weeks and another large language model become the leader several weeks later.

0:8:16.720 --> 0:8:20.30 Cai, Rebecca So we can just switch a vendor right every time we changes.

0:8:20.460 --> 0:8:26.510

Cai, Rebecca

So it's better for us to stay out of that game and but in the meantime, we leverage all of them.

0:8:26.990 --> 0:8:41.150 Cai, Rebecca And whichever one gave us the most trusted, the most, you know, uh, effective result, we will just use it and there's no statewide data and AI standard for governing the data use.

0:8:41.240 --> 0:8:44.910 Cai, Rebecca That's why we are setting up the policies in the prior slide, right?

0:8:45.440 --> 0:9:2.430

Cai, Rebecca

So if we keep in mind all those challenges we're facing today and across the board, not just for us, right, it's the all those are common challenges people are facing and it's true here in Hawaii based on my conversation with different departments as well.

0:9:2.840 --> 0:9:10.560 Cai, Rebecca So how can we address them when we look at the statewide, you know, cloud on top of all the departments, what do we need?

0:9:11.110 --> 0:9:16.430 Cai, Rebecca First, we want to make sure that we have a data governance across all data sources.

0:9:17.430 --> 0:9:20.820 Cai, Rebecca A lot of times, each tool itself.

0:9:20.870 --> 0:9:32.390

Cai, Rebecca

Each cloud would have its own governance tools, and the governs very well about all the data that's on that cloud or within that 2 space.

0:9:32.980 --> 0:9:46.150

Cai, Rebecca

But once you cross the different vendors across different clouds, that's where you need a specific generic data governance tool that can catalog everything, even the things that's on my laptop.

0:9:46.420 --> 0:9:51.310 Cai, Rebecca Even the SQL servers, even the Coble, even the mainframe.

0:9:51.670 --> 0:9:57.180 Cai, Rebecca So how can we make sure that we can have this no discrimination when we government data can see that?

0:9:57.440 --> 0:9:58.980 Cai, Rebecca Ohh it's not on the cloud.

0:9:59.250 --> 0:10:2.160 Cai, Rebecca It's not on this cloud, so we can't govern it.

0:10:2.570 --> 0:10:19.220

Cai, Rebecca

It can't be like that as long as we tagged it as privacy as security, as the classified data, and we need to be governed at protecting it as long as we have the the need to share the data, we need to have the data access control.

0:10:19.300 --> 0:10:22.180 Cai, Rebecca So that's the first point we want to make sure that's universal.

0:10:22.650 --> 0:10:29.430

Cai, Rebecca

The second one is we want to make sure that we are able to allow and enable cross data platform.

0:10:30.160 --> 0:10:34.240 Cai, Rebecca Uh data referencing cause a region in one department.

0:10:35.350 --> 0:10:42.320

Cai, Rebecca

How do I refer it to a different region in another department and citizen Rebecca in one department?

0:10:42.330 --> 0:10:46.0 Cai, Rebecca How do I know that's the safest same citizen Rebecca in another department?

0:10:46.10 --> 0:10:47.980 Cai, Rebecca Maybe I call myself Becky, right? 0:10:47.990 --> 0:10:49.740 Cai, Rebecca How do I know that's the same person?

0:10:50.110 --> 0:10:55.140 Cai, Rebecca So that's kind of a master data management, content management, data lineage part of it.

0:10:55.890 --> 0:11:14.130

Cai, Rebecca

The third one is about multi cloud capability because the fact is that we do have different cloud vendors and they are optimized for certain things and there's it's not possible and it's not the right thing to do to replace them with one single mender.

0:11:14.360 --> 0:11:28.20

Cai, Rebecca

So how can we make sure that at the top of the cloud here it can talk smoothly to any cloud vendor at the departmental level and with no discrimination there at all and with lowest cost?

0:11:29.50 --> 0:11:30.120 Cai, Rebecca How can we do that?

0:11:30.490 --> 0:11:34.480 Cai, Rebecca The 4th one is that we want to have a built in leading machine learning engine.

0:11:35.350 --> 0:11:49.840 Cai, Rebecca There's no way we can hire like 5 attended scientists and it actually the trend now is that you don't hire data scientists, you just have a platform where there's no code, data science.

0:11:50.30 --> 0:11:54.960 Cai, Rebecca So you don't need much data coding at all to do the analytics.

0:11:54.970 --> 0:11:58.120 Cai, Rebecca The business, especially like Doctor Tans, team right?

0:11:58.370 --> 0:12:8.990 Cai, Rebecca They have no they have the statistical models in their minds, so they can just do the data science, the simulation, the prediction, using the tool itself.

0:12:9.60 --> 0:12:15.500 Cai, Rebecca Can we have a tool with that capability instead of hiring, you know, ten data scientists or even three data scientists?

0:12:15.510 --> 0:12:19.130 Cai, Rebecca Then we lose them, potentially, and we can't keep them.

0:12:19.760 --> 0:12:22.690 Cai, Rebecca And the fifth one is about the building.

0:12:22.700 --> 0:12:28.890 Cai, Rebecca Indeed, in general, AI capabilities leveraging multiple large language models, right?

0:12:29.50 --> 0:12:33.80 Cai, Rebecca It could be, uh, Facebook's large language model is leading now.

0:12:33.90 --> 0:12:52.100

Cai, Rebecca

It could be Google's leading next year or next month it could be delivery, leading the month after, but we leverage them all and we don't discriminate one against another and we just use the result and we use our own methodology, our own standards to test the result.

0:12:52.310 --> 0:12:57.570 Cai, Rebecca How trustworthy it is and then we use the best result out.

0:12:57.580 --> 0:13:4.730 Cai, Rebecca There was the highest accuracy and it could be different model depending on the use case.

0:13:5.180 --> 0:13:8.290 Cai, Rebecca It could be Google wins, it could be open. 0:13:8.300 --> 0:13:9.910 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, ones it could be.

0:13:10.0 --> 0:13:13.70 Cai, Rebecca You know anyone wins for specific use case.

0:13:13.160 --> 0:13:17.930 Cai, Rebecca We just focus on the use case that's solve the problem for the citizens.

0:13:18.40 --> 0:13:20.230 Cai, Rebecca We don't care about the technology side.

0:13:20.540 --> 0:13:24.990 Cai, Rebecca You know who is actually the vendor and leading vendor in that space.

0:13:25.680 --> 0:13:27.940 Cai, Rebecca The last one is about the ownership of the work.

0:13:29.410 --> 0:13:32.660 Cai, Rebecca Before I think the IT work, a lot of work.

0:13:32.720 --> 0:13:36.470 Cai, Rebecca Uh, not before here, but years back, right?

0:13:36.770 --> 0:13:41.160 Cai, Rebecca A lot of the work you do with the vendor stays with the vendor landscape.

0:13:41.280 --> 0:13:52.410 Cai, Rebecca Once you move away from one vendor, you lose a lot of the work, but now open source is the bleeding is a dominating this field now, right?

0:13:52.540 --> 0:14:3.630 Cai, Rebecca So if we use a common language, if we are able to use some open source platforms, we are able to keep the work and own the work that we state develop.

0:14:3.800 --> 0:14:12.490 Cai, Rebecca So no vendor can just say that increase price like 100% next year saying that, hey, you can't leave us, you're on us.

0:14:12.740 --> 0:14:18.10 Cai, Rebecca How can we enable this flexibility of us so we own our work?

0:14:18.470 --> 0:14:34.100

Cai, Rebecca

We decide where to best put it based on the cost based on the how can we can best serve the use cases, serve the citizens and we have the flexibilities to switch window with minimum rework later if needed.

0:14:34.690 --> 0:14:36.610 Cai, Rebecca So those are the key considerations.

0:14:37.430 --> 0:14:49.790

Cai, Rebecca

Ohh, we can think of now I wonder Umm if any any feedback on those and any suggestions on that.

0:14:50.40 --> 0:14:54.720 Cai, Rebecca I really hope this meeting can be I have we have a short, you know, agenda items.

0:14:55.40 --> 0:14:58.170 Cai, Rebecca

We hope that we can be more interactive.

0:14:58.440 --> 0:15:5.310

Cai, Rebecca

I know you're all very knowledgeable in this area, like speaking different events on data and AI.

0:15:6.570 --> 0:15:10.100 Cai, Rebecca So did I miss anything here? 0:15:10.110 --> 0:15:11.800 Cai, Rebecca Or any thoughts?

0:15:11.810 --> 0:15:16.70 Cai, Rebecca Any feedbacks on this slide on the how to select the best vendor?

0:15:17.20 --> 0:15:19.70 Cai, Rebecca To best serve our citizens.

0:15:23.480 --> 0:15:33.120 Cai, Rebecca I can't see your comments if you're commenting in the field in the chats because I'm presenting. Uh.

0:15:35.600 --> 0:15:38.360 Cai, Rebecca Susan, could you let me know if you see any comments there?

0:15:42.120 --> 0:15:42.910 Bannister, Susan OK, I'll check.

0:15:42.920 --> 0:15:43.280 Bannister, Susan Thank you.

0:15:44.800 --> 0:15:45.320 Cai, Rebecca Thank you.

0:16:11.490 --> 0:16:18.220 Cai, Rebecca Hopefully next data Task Force meeting, we can provide some update on the tools as well.

0:16:18.710 --> 0:16:19.540 Cai, Rebecca We probably won't. 0:16:19.100 --> 0:16:20.80 Bannister, Susan We probably won't.

0:16:21.130 --> 0:16:22.130 Cai, Rebecca Yes, Susan.

0:16:30.920 --> 0:16:31.150 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:16:31.160 --> 0:16:33.630 Cai, Rebecca We won't pick a vendor and or anything.

0:16:33.640 --> 0:16:35.930 Cai, Rebecca It could be multiple vendors for different tools, right?

0:16:36.280 --> 0:16:39.990 Cai, Rebecca It could be even within data governance you could have cataloging.

0:16:40.40 --> 0:16:41.660 Cai, Rebecca You could have classification.

0:16:41.670 --> 0:16:45.430 Cai, Rebecca You could have the protection of the data where you tag and the mask the data.

0:16:45.980 --> 0:16:49.670 Cai, Rebecca It could have the workflow to automate the access control.

0:16:49.680 --> 0:16:51.430 Cai, Rebecca Who is requesting access to what?

0:16:51.680 --> 0:17:0.820 Cai, Rebecca How can I link to the Active Directory to know who that person is and what data he or she should access to and also the privacy protection?

0:17:0.830 --> 0:17:7.300 Cai, Rebecca The quality management, how can I use AI to detect the quality of the data and tag it?

0:17:7.630 --> 0:17:10.290 Cai, Rebecca You know market green, yellow or red or Gray.

0:17:11.310 --> 0:17:19.110 Cai, Rebecca And then to give the recommendation on how can I improve the quality of certain fields so it could.

0:17:19.120 --> 0:17:32.570

Cai, Rebecca

It's a very complicated, but I think it's it's important for us to say that the guideline at the state level right as a team, if we said that you need to consider all those aspects, then it's easier to for us to make decisions later on.

0:17:37.70 --> 0:17:38.160 Cai, Rebecca Do you see anything, Susan?

0:17:40.550 --> 0:17:44.790 Cai, Rebecca Uh, let me log into my teams on my phone, see if I can see anything.

0:17:47.120 --> 0:17:48.290 Sakamoto, Steve M. Rebecca, this is Steve.

0:17:48.300 --> 0:17:49.220 Sakamoto, Steve M. I I did have a question.

0:17:49.220 --> 0:17:50.880 Cai, Rebecca Yes, yes, thank you. 0:17:50.730 --> 0:17:53.480 Sakamoto, Steve M. Uh, with data visualization.

0:17:53.490 --> 0:17:57.280 Sakamoto, Steve M. Be part of #4 about the machine learning engine.

0:17:57.290 --> 0:17:59.10 Sakamoto, Steve M. Would that be a component of that?

0:18:0.550 --> 0:18:2.280 Cai, Rebecca That's a great question.

0:18:2.450 --> 0:18:3.220 Cai, Rebecca We should.

0:18:3.290 --> 0:18:4.170 Cai, Rebecca We should, yes.

0:18:9.60 --> 0:18:10.450 Cai, Rebecca I will add it to there.

0:18:10.690 --> 0:18:10.860 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:18:12.760 --> 0:18:21.680 Cai, Rebecca Because umm yeah, the output need to be visualized in the way that's transparent to the business user, right?

0:18:21.750 --> 0:18:27.540 Cai, Rebecca People can easily tell what story it tells what's the what insights that.

0:18:27.0 --> 0:18:32.900 Sakamoto, Steve M. I think I'm geospatial data should also be part of the visualization, so you can see exactly where.

0:18:33.820 --> 0:18:34.620 Cai, Rebecca Exactly, yeah.

0:18:36.690 --> 0:18:37.380 Cai, Rebecca Great feedback.

0:18:37.390 --> 0:18:38.160 Cai, Rebecca Thank you so much.

0:18:38.170 --> 0:18:41.540 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, geospatial is so important for for us as a government.

0:18:41.550 --> 0:18:42.160 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:18:42.310 --> 0:18:46.530 Cai, Rebecca Anything is related to a region, to a location, right? Yeah.

0:18:46.330 --> 0:18:46.700 Kaimana Walsh Yeah.

0:18:46.710 --> 0:18:48.100 Kaimana Walsh And this is kaimana.

0:18:48.620 --> 0:18:48.940 Cai, Rebecca Uh-huh.

0:18:48.350 --> 0:19:2.190 Kaimana Walsh Can you guys folks I just add on to that, how are or is the statewide GIS program being involved in this request for for vendors and how it can integrate with what the existing GIS? 0:19:4.260 --> 0:19:4.580 Kaimana Walsh Platform.

0:19:5.240 --> 0:19:5.790 Cai, Rebecca Yes.

0:19:6.280 --> 0:19:7.590 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, it is actually.

0:19:8.70 --> 0:19:13.320 Cai, Rebecca Umm, like the diagram we had, GIS is a critical component of it.

0:19:13.380 --> 0:19:19.440

Cai, Rebecca

Whatever platform we use at statewide has to be able to ingest the data coming from Azure.

0:19:22.630 --> 0:19:23.20 Kaimana Walsh That's it.

0:19:19.450 --> 0:19:23.710

Cai, Rebecca You know the geospatial data to use it in a way, yeah.

0:19:23.600 --> 0:19:33.230

Kaimana Walsh

And then I just have one other question and in consideration of a vendor or you folks also considering how generative AI will impact?

0:19:34.10 --> 0:19:40.300 Kaimana Walsh And it will it be at the same scale where it impacts GHG emissions and required natural, you know, resources.

0:19:40.310 --> 0:19:47.60

Kaimana Walsh

I I recently came across an article on from Brookings about the impact of AI on natural resources.

0:19:47.70 --> 0:19:58.390 Kaimana Walsh And are we thinking about that broadly as a state when we are considering what vendors were working with and long term impacts of AI for infrastructure and things like that?

0:19:59.620 --> 0:20:1.840 Cai, Rebecca Hmm, that's a great question.

0:20:1.850 --> 0:20:2.490 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:20:2.580 --> 0:20:12.710 Cai, Rebecca

Uh, if we use like if we develop our own large language models, it's really not green because it requires a lot of consumption.

0:20:12.720 --> 0:20:13.730 Cai, Rebecca Energy consumption.

0:20:14.280 --> 0:20:17.170 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, when we don't use it, we leverage others.

0:20:17.280 --> 0:20:26.590 Cai, Rebecca So you're referring to how are we evalue evaluating how sustainable their AI solution is?

0:20:27.420 --> 0:20:27.560 Kaimana Walsh Yes.

0:20:28.820 --> 0:20:32.110 Cai, Rebecca OK, that's a great input, actually.

0:20:32.220 --> 0:20:35.380 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, we sure that we should add something in there. 0:20:35.550 --> 0:20:37.20 Cai, Rebecca I don't know whether it's transparent.

0:20:37.30 --> 0:20:40.300 Cai, Rebecca Do you see them on publicly available?

0:20:45.460 --> 0:20:46.440 Cai, Rebecca I can do some research.

0:20:47.810 --> 0:20:48.380 Kaimana Walsh Yeah, I can.

0:20:48.390 --> 0:20:59.530

Kaimana Walsh

I can send you some of the things or I can send some things to the group of what I've just been seeing in terms of AI and and how it's and future impacts on climate and environment.

0:21:0.750 --> 0:21:1.240 Cai, Rebecca Yes.

0:21:1.710 --> 0:21:1.830 Kaimana Walsh Yeah.

0:21:1.390 --> 0:21:2.170 Cai, Rebecca Oh, that would be great.

0:21:3.0 --> 0:21:3.560 Kaimana Walsh Yeah, we'll do.

0:21:3.310 --> 0:21:3.960 Cai, Rebecca Yeah. 0:21:4.670 --> 0:21:5.440 Cai, Rebecca Thank you so much.

0:21:5.450 --> 0:21:5.750 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:21:5.760 --> 0:21:6.620 Cai, Rebecca How are you growing? Gross?

0:21:6.630 --> 0:21:8.200 Cai, Rebecca Definitely is the leader in this field.

0:21:8.210 --> 0:21:8.480 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:21:8.490 --> 0:21:8.900 Cai, Rebecca Thank you.

0:21:8.910 --> 0:21:9.590 Cai, Rebecca Thank you for your input.

0:21:10.760 --> 0:21:11.590 Cai, Rebecca This is awesome.

0:21:12.560 --> 0:21:12.840 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:21:12.850 --> 0:21:20.950

Cai, Rebecca

And also on the other hand, we can think about how we can use AI to serve the standability right.

0:21:21.370 --> 0:21:33.480 Cai, Rebecca I know that one of the success stories we shared during the data plus AI conference Summit is that from the uh, when professor used AI to identify the endangered species.

0:21:37.70 --> 0:21:42.760 Cai, Rebecca So we can identify endangered species, identify invasive species, and then we can take actions.

0:21:42.770 --> 0:21:44.820 Cai, Rebecca What would it be the action after that?

0:21:45.330 --> 0:21:57.350 Cai, Rebecca So we would just identify that's the first step and then what would be the actions that we should take based on that insight to create actual impacts.

0:22:6.260 --> 0:22:8.300 Kaimana Walsh Was that a question for for me?

0:22:9.410 --> 0:22:11.350 Cai, Rebecca Ah, I guess so.

0:22:11.700 --> 0:22:12.10 Kaimana Walsh OK.

0:22:12.120 --> 0:22:13.310 Cai, Rebecca It's just for discussion.

0:22:12.360 --> 0:22:14.320 Kaimana Walsh I'll just take my OK. OK.

0:22:13.370 --> 0:22:16.160 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, just just for discussion, yeah. 0:22:16.780 --> 0:22:16.940 Kaimana Walsh Yeah.

0:22:19.520 --> 0:22:20.190 Cai, Rebecca OK.

0:22:20.480 --> 0:22:20.750 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:22:20.760 --> 0:22:21.810 Cai, Rebecca Any other feedbacks?

0:22:21.820 --> 0:22:23.670 Cai, Rebecca Thank you so much, Steve and Kamala.

0:22:34.410 --> 0:22:36.780 Cai, Rebecca OK, you can let me know.

0:22:36.880 --> 0:22:37.880 Cai, Rebecca You know my email here.

0:22:37.890 --> 0:22:42.730 Cai, Rebecca You can let me know because it's still working progress here. Yep.

0:22:44.910 --> 0:22:45.840 Cai, Rebecca Right, I read it.

0:22:45.850 --> 0:22:48.980 Cai, Rebecca Hope I wish there is one single solution.

0:22:49.220 --> 0:22:56.50 Cai, Rebecca Provide everything 123456 and I doubt that would be the case. 0:22:56.730 --> 0:22:57.260 Cai, Rebecca We will.

0:22:57.270 --> 0:22:57.650 Cai, Rebecca We'll see.

0:22:58.880 --> 0:23:0.70 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, calling.

0:22:59.330 --> 0:23:12.130

Tim Hosoda

Hey, you know regarding like the multi cloud capability in terms of like cost effectiveness, how would that work across different departments they would you split the cost evenly or?

0:23:14.730 --> 0:23:15.460 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:23:15.470 --> 0:23:18.790 Cai, Rebecca It's so I I don't know yet.

0:23:19.150 --> 0:23:20.60 Cai, Rebecca It's a great question.

0:23:20.70 --> 0:23:20.780 Cai, Rebecca I don't know yet.

0:23:21.30 --> 0:23:38.960

Cai, Rebecca

A lot of times at the some of the cloud vendors, the data platform vendors on the top, they could be on multiple clouds and the cost could be one is that the storage of the data, that's one cost, right?

0:23:39.430 --> 0:23:41.980 Cai, Rebecca And the second cost is a compute cost. 0:23:42.370 --> 0:23:49.660

Cai, Rebecca

When you do some process, the machine learning models, when you processing transform the data.

0:23:50.50 --> 0:23:55.440 Cai, Rebecca When you merge the data together, when you clean the data, so that's the compute cost.

0:23:55.450 --> 0:23:57.960 Cai, Rebecca That's the second cost, the third cost.

0:23:58.300 --> 0:24:15.470

Cai, Rebecca

It could be if the top the statewide data a cloud vendor is different than the cloud vendor at the departmental level, it will have to be the case anyway because we have multiple cloud vendor at department level, right?

0:24:15.660 --> 0:24:27.670

Cai, Rebecca

When it's a different, getting the data out from the cloud vendor at the department level into the different cloud at the state level, that could be a cost as well.

0:24:28.420 --> 0:24:36.960

Cai, Rebecca

So those are the three different kinds of cost and the compute cost and the storage cost, I think they are very specific to department.

0:24:37.610 --> 0:24:48.700

Cai, Rebecca

If if the department the data is used to serve your own purpose right for your own operation, you don't have to have it at the top level at the state level at all.

0:24:49.110 --> 0:24:54.160 Cai, Rebecca However, when the data for example unemployment data is needed by many many departments.

0:24:54.470 --> 0:25:1.410 Cai, Rebecca So if that's the case, I need to be on the shared ohh state wide data. 0:25:1.510 --> 0:25:2.290 Cai, Rebecca Uh, cloud.

0:25:2.660 --> 0:25:7.820 Cai, Rebecca So in that case that would be some extra storage cost.

0:25:9.40 --> 0:25:11.330 Cai, Rebecca I don't know how the cost would work out yet.

0:25:11.650 --> 0:25:16.490 Cai, Rebecca Is it uh to be absorbed by the department providing the data for other people to use?

0:25:16.580 --> 0:25:17.700 Cai, Rebecca Or it maybe it's?

0:25:19.740 --> 0:25:23.890 Cai, Rebecca Maybe it make more sense for the people to use it to share that cost.

0:25:24.300 --> 0:25:24.860 Cai, Rebecca What do you think?

0:25:28.530 --> 0:25:33.620 Cai, Rebecca We haven't done the MU yet, so it might be different situation.

0:25:33.680 --> 0:25:42.50 Cai, Rebecca You know for depending on the use case, depending on the type of data that's being shared being up there at the state level.

0:25:42.500 --> 0:25:49.550 Cai, Rebecca But that's definitely one key consideration we need to, you know, make sure we add into the cost part there. 0:25:50.360 --> 0:25:50.980 Cai, Rebecca And there's three.

0:25:52.190 --> 0:25:52.540 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:25:52.550 --> 0:25:53.560 Cai, Rebecca Who is that speaking?

0:25:53.570 --> 0:25:53.910 Cai, Rebecca I'm sorry.

0:25:55.300 --> 0:25:57.660 Tim Hosoda Ohh Tim from the Department of Education.

0:25:58.530 --> 0:25:59.790 Cai, Rebecca Ohh yes. Thank you.

0:26:1.350 --> 0:26:2.430 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, that's a great question.

0:26:6.670 --> 0:26:10.570 Cai, Rebecca I know a lot of the data being shared now.

0:26:11.130 --> 0:26:16.970 Cai, Rebecca There is a cost involved because for the processing of the data.

0:26:20.960 --> 0:26:22.930 Cai, Rebecca So there might still stay true.

0:26:24.170 --> 0:26:35.410 Cai, Rebecca It's just a more control, the more governed, more secured way of sharing and with more capabilities with a machine learning AI capabilities.

0:26:35.420 --> 0:26:40.660 Cai, Rebecca On top of that, instead of sharing via email, yeah.

0:26:42.630 --> 0:26:44.360 Cai, Rebecca Thank you so much for all the feedback.

0:26:44.930 --> 0:26:45.730 Cai, Rebecca Anything else?

0:26:47.920 --> 0:26:48.650 Cai, Rebecca Taking those here.

0:26:56.250 --> 0:26:56.850 Cai, Rebecca Nope.

0:26:56.890 --> 0:26:59.210 Cai, Rebecca OK, let's move on to the next slide.

0:27:0.520 --> 0:27:2.10 Cai, Rebecca It's about the data literacy.

0:27:2.640 --> 0:27:4.940 Cai, Rebecca Ohh, so data literacy training.

0:27:5.80 --> 0:27:20.130

Cai, Rebecca

We really want to stay at literacy level, not proficiency, so literacy is more setting the ground to make sure that every state employee, everyone, even the citizens we talk about, you know, hey, we care about your data privacy.

0:27:20.320 --> 0:27:22.190 Cai, Rebecca They're like, what do you mean by data privacy?

0:27:22.200 --> 0:27:30.340

Cai, Rebecca

To me, as a citizen, so we are hoping that we can set the ground so everybody understands the key common terms.

0:27:31.290 --> 0:27:32.220 Cai, Rebecca So what is data?

0:27:32.230 --> 0:27:33.380 Cai, Rebecca What do you mean by data?

0:27:33.390 --> 0:27:35.440 Cai, Rebecca I'm not a technical resource.

0:27:35.890 --> 0:27:46.70

Cai, Rebecca

I don't deal with data, but my driver license is my data, my Social Security card is my data right and my address is my data as well.

0:27:46.640 --> 0:27:50.180 Cai, Rebecca So what is data and what is data in daily life?

0:27:51.440 --> 0:27:52.760 Cai, Rebecca How to visualize data?

0:27:54.10 --> 0:27:55.610 Cai, Rebecca What do you mean by data visualization?

0:27:56.990 --> 0:27:59.160 Cai, Rebecca So that's the at the basic level. 0:27:59.450 --> 0:28:7.740 Cai, Rebecca And then we want to move on to what are the common misconceptions in data and why data is being collected?

0:28:8.10 --> 0:28:10.170 Cai, Rebecca Why do you need my data at all to begin with?

0:28:11.0 --> 0:28:14.710 Cai, Rebecca What is the the privacy and what is data security?

0:28:14.760 --> 0:28:23.910 Cai, Rebecca How to do a credible data research if I want to find something, how can they do it without being fooled by the you know?

0:28:26.680 --> 0:28:30.700 Cai, Rebecca By the Internet, there are so much misinformation on the Internet, right.

0:28:31.240 --> 0:28:38.150 Cai, Rebecca And so there is no tagging on 100% quality on any data out there.

0:28:38.260 --> 0:28:39.950 Cai, Rebecca And Al is trained on such data.

0:28:40.20 --> 0:28:47.610

Cai, Rebecca

It helps a lot, but we human being need to make sure that we understand the reality is not perfect.

0:28:47.900 --> 0:29:5.130

Cai, Rebecca

So how can we make sure that we get the uh, use the data in the way that with the right judgment, to make sure that we're not over trusting it or not using it at all to to improve the efficiency?

0:29:5.520 --> 0:29:7.570 Cai, Rebecca And what are the common data mistakes?

0:29:7.940 --> 0:29:17.680 Cai, Rebecca So what we did is ohh we researched online, find some short very short topics.

0:29:19.390 --> 0:29:20.650 Cai, Rebecca Two to three minutes.

0:29:20.720 --> 0:29:27.230 Cai, Rebecca Ideal at most 5 minutes where it explains the concepts in the plain English.

0:29:27.640 --> 0:29:34.250 Cai, Rebecca So people would understand what it is I we are God.

0:29:34.260 --> 0:29:39.400 Cai, Rebecca Their approvals by the publisher uh of such videos.

0:29:39.640 --> 0:29:44.960

Cai, Rebecca So we can provide them on our data.hawaii.gov a website.

0:29:45.690 --> 0:29:50.480 Cai, Rebecca We did this following several states such as Indiana.

0:29:50.890 --> 0:29:59.480 Cai, Rebecca They did something similar and we're still working to figure out how to track people's attendance.

0:29:59.710 --> 0:30:6.340 Cai, Rebecca So we can award them with badges, with bronze, silver, gold badges.

0:30:6.830 --> 0:30:23.10 Cai, Rebecca When government employees watch those videos, so the question for the team is first, the topics in any other topics, you could suggest you know related data literacy.

0:30:24.300 --> 0:30:37.780 Cai, Rebecca The second is any other sources in the you could recommend that we could get for such videos and if you have a created some on your spare time let me know.

0:30:42.830 --> 0:30:51.940 Sakamoto, Steve M. Yeah, I think you need to provide some, like real world examples and then maybe some, uh, use case studies.

0:30:52.70 --> 0:30:57.720 Sakamoto, Steve M. I think that would give them a better idea on how they are, you know, can be used.

0:30:57.730 --> 0:31:0.380 Sakamoto, Steve M. So I think even examples like that would be helpful.

0:31:1.480 --> 0:31:1.640 Cai, Rebecca Yes.

0:31:4.540 --> 0:31:5.40 Cai, Rebecca Thank you.

0:31:6.650 --> 0:31:10.470 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, if we can do something related to Hawaii, that would be even better, yeah.

0:31:12.420 --> 0:31:12.630 Cai, Rebecca Umm.

0:31:15.120 --> 0:31:18.450 Cai, Rebecca Do you think we can form a team? 0:31:19.60 --> 0:31:22.350 Cai, Rebecca Who would sign up to do a short video on each one of this?

0:31:24.200 --> 0:31:28.340 Cai, Rebecca Over our own using our own real use case examples.

0:31:37.520 --> 0:31:38.920 Cai, Rebecca Maybe that's too crazy idea.

0:31:41.20 --> 0:31:45.470 Sakamoto, Steve M. And that's how you have the resources are available to, you know, put that together.

0:31:45.480 --> 0:31:48.50 Sakamoto, Steve M. But I mean that I think that is a consideration.

0:31:49.180 --> 0:31:50.940 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, that'll be really nice.

0:31:50.950 --> 0:31:52.240 Cai, Rebecca You will have the resource, right?

0:31:53.600 --> 0:31:54.230 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:31:54.280 --> 0:31:56.410 Cai, Rebecca And they were gonna do it in different languages.

0:31:56.420 --> 0:32:0.350 Cai, Rebecca You know Hawaiian languages that would be even better, yeah.

0:32:2.670 --> 0:32:3.930 Cai, Rebecca Maybe the next step. 0:32:3.990 --> 0:32:5.990 Cai, Rebecca The first step would a generic version.

0:32:6.0 --> 0:32:15.230

Cai, Rebecca

There, the next step we would make a more specific to Hawaii and then the following step is doing in different languages.

0:32:18.190 --> 0:32:18.950 Cai, Rebecca They released to us. 0:32:21.710 --> 0:32:22.290 Cai, Rebecca Put it in there. 0:32:24.420 --> 0:32:24.740 Cai, Rebecca 2. 0:32:26.590 --> 0:32:27.180 Cai, Rebecca Step 3. 0:32:29.630 --> 0:32:30.460 Cai, Rebecca Local languages. 0:32:33.370 --> 0:32:33.620 Cai, Rebecca Yeah. 0:32:33.630 --> 0:32:34.170 Cai, Rebecca Thank you Steve. 0:32:35.570 --> 0:32:38.180 Cai, Rebecca Umm any other feedback suggestions? 0:32:49.690 --> 0:32:52.30 Cai, Rebecca All right, let's move on to the AI detracy.

0:32:54.340 --> 0:32:54.730 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:32:54.740 --> 0:32:55.270 Cai, Rebecca Literacy.

0:32:55.280 --> 0:32:59.870 Cai, Rebecca We're still in the process of searching for the contents again.

0:32:59.880 --> 0:33:1.90 Cai, Rebecca It's literacy, right?

0:33:1.440 --> 0:33:5.540 Cai, Rebecca It's not even proficiency, and definitely not a technical training.

0:33:6.60 --> 0:33:19.310

Cai, Rebecca

We don't want to make it technical at all and there are the trend is that when a I first came out right, people are saying that hey, prompt engineering could be a job so people could be just writing prompts.

0:33:19.880 --> 0:33:29.980

Cai, Rebecca

Now the common understanding is that problem adding hearing everyone is every individual is a problem engineer.

0:33:30.380 --> 0:33:32.600 Cai, Rebecca We are able to write our own prompts.

0:33:33.50 --> 0:33:44.560

Cai, Rebecca

We don't need to hire someone to just be a prompt engineer to help us write prompts, so I personally believe the data science and AI part.

0:33:45.10 --> 0:34:3.830

Cai, Rebecca

That's the trend as well to make it easier, easier for business users to use it, because it should never wish as users of such technology, to serve our citizens, we should never, ever be on the cutting edge of the developing the technology itself.

0:34:4.220 --> 0:34:14.460

Cai, Rebecca

We should be using a platform that would have low, cold or even no code where we don't need to have to be a data scientist.

0:34:15.30 --> 0:34:18.720 Cai, Rebecca We just focus on the business use case.

0:34:18.990 --> 0:34:21.40 Cai, Rebecca What problem are we trying to solve?

0:34:21.230 --> 0:34:23.820 Cai, Rebecca What impact are we trying to create?

0:34:24.50 --> 0:34:37.870

Cai, Rebecca

What value are we bringing to the citizens, to the state and focus on that, and the AI would be able to communicate with us using the common, you know, language the English language to support us in that way.

0:34:38.360 --> 0:34:50.380

Cai, Rebecca

So that's my belief is the trend and I see it's the going that way, uh, all the vendors are working either have a low code, no code solution already or they're building 1.

0:34:51.320 --> 0:35:5.50

Cai, Rebecca

So we are not trying to train people on the technical, sorry side, we just want to make people understand, you know, what is AI and what is machine learning and what is used in my daily life.

0:35:5.60 --> 0:35:6.690 Cai, Rebecca Again, use cases, right?

0:35:7.160 --> 0:35:11.750 Cai, Rebecca What does it mean to me, and can I recognize a I like?

0:35:11.760 --> 0:35:12.690 Cai, Rebecca I received email.

0:35:12.700 --> 0:35:14.610 Cai, Rebecca I have a high schooler in my house.

0:35:15.0 --> 0:35:28.800 Cai, Rebecca I received an email from his history teacher saying that the school banned use of AI because they realized that even Grammarly is considered AI too now.

0:35:28.990 --> 0:35:35.0

Cai, Rebecca

So that makes me wonder what tool is not a I how do I know the AI is part of the solution there?

0:35:35.460 --> 0:35:40.260 Cai, Rebecca And I also spoke with one educator here on our island.

0:35:41.730 --> 0:35:54.730

Cai, Rebecca

He is embracing AI for school, so he's methodology that we encourage students to use AI because everyone needs to be able to use AI in the future, right to improve the efficiency.

0:35:54.950 --> 0:36:7.860

Cai, Rebecca

However, when if you produce a presentation or produce a paper with assistance of AI, you need to be able to answer questions on that paper to present on your story.

0:36:8.170 --> 0:36:16.340 Cai, Rebecca As long as you are able to make it your own story and to present on the to answer question on it, guess what?

0:36:16.450 --> 0:36:24.360

Cai, Rebecca

You grasp the knowledge, you understand it, so I don't care whether you used some tool to give you some hints.

0:36:24.370 --> 0:36:25.700 Cai, Rebecca Support you in that way.

0:36:26.190 --> 0:36:37.250 Cai, Rebecca So I think for government we're the same we we encourage using it and we are trying to empower our employees to better serve the citizens in that way.

0:36:37.710 --> 0:36:43.510 Cai, Rebecca So going back to the AI again, it's about the tool is in our daily life.

0:36:44.20 --> 0:36:47.880 Cai, Rebecca How can we help people to improve the literacy of AI?

0:36:48.160 --> 0:36:59.510

Cai, Rebecca

Because I realized that when we look into this topic, we tend to move to the technical side because there are so many things teaching so many information out there to teach the technical part of it.

0:37:0.110 --> 0:37:5.190 Cai, Rebecca But we don't need to be a we don't develop our own large language model.

0:37:5.200 --> 0:37:10.920 Cai, Rebecca We don't need to be data scientists, So what would it be the way to stay at the surface?

0:37:11.310 --> 0:37:17.130 Cai, Rebecca But in the meantime, helping people to better use AI to understand AI. 0:37:17.860 --> 0:37:23.670 Cai, Rebecca So we can use it in a responsible way to improve the efficiencies.

0:37:23.810 --> 0:37:29.980

Cai, Rebecca

Everyone can leverage it to improve efficiency without dig deeper into the technical domain.

0:37:31.870 --> 0:37:34.960 Cai, Rebecca So I need your help on this those topics.

0:37:34.970 --> 0:37:36.100 Cai, Rebecca How can you?

0:37:36.350 --> 0:37:53.10

Cai, Rebecca

Can we, you know, touch ensure I think they and the result is to make sure that we can improve the efficiencies of our government workers to better serve our citizens in a trusted and responsible way.

0:37:55.270 --> 0:37:57.500 Cai, Rebecca That's why we touched the dead quality here.

0:37:57.590 --> 0:38:0.190 Cai, Rebecca We touched the trust here and.

0:38:3.110 --> 0:38:4.860 Cai, Rebecca Touch the, you know.

0:38:5.50 --> 0:38:8.390 Cai, Rebecca Should I be then feel AI standards and stay away from it?

0:38:9.170 --> 0:38:13.30 Cai, Rebecca And is I always provide equitable, you know results. 0:38:14.120 --> 0:38:15.430 Cai, Rebecca So those are the questions.

0:38:15.440 --> 0:38:23.10

Cai, Rebecca

I was a uh, we are leaning towards so we can make sure that the people are again it's the level setting, right?

0:38:23.300 --> 0:38:29.500

Cai, Rebecca

We're all on the same level when we use AI, we always have the same understanding that we use the AI.

0:38:34.750 --> 0:38:35.740 Cai, Rebecca Any suggestion here?

0:38:42.430 --> 0:39:5.400

Sakamoto, Steve M.

You think one additional area you may wanna look at is this far as project management on the AI because you you need to be able to manage it well to make sure that you know you following the guidelines or you making sure that the data you're using you know is in a secure manner.

0:39:9.130 --> 0:39:9.380 Cai, Rebecca Umm.

0:39:5.410 --> 0:39:11.20

Sakamoto, Steve M.

So I think having some kind of project management though training in the what would be good?

0:39:12.50 --> 0:39:17.80 Cai, Rebecca Ah, yeah, that's a that's a great suggestion.

0:39:17.330 --> 0:39:21.860 Cai, Rebecca And ohh another thing is Oklahoma State of Oklahoma. 0:39:22.710 --> 0:39:28.90 Cai, Rebecca They created a training for a I-1 training.

0:39:28.100 --> 0:39:35.770

Cai, Rebecca

They developed the training, I think with the help of Google, they develop AI training for all their government employees.

0:39:37.60 --> 0:39:38.780 Cai, Rebecca So maybe we can look into that as well.

0:39:46.610 --> 0:39:47.200 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:39:47.470 --> 0:39:54.510

Cai, Rebecca

Let me let me share with you that information I have that on website open actually let me see if I have it here.

0:39:56.240 --> 0:39:57.40 Cai, Rebecca Oh, this one?

0:39:58.160 --> 0:40:3.160 Cai, Rebecca It's a recent news ohh from the Gulf Tech.

0:40:3.630 --> 0:40:6.810

Cai, Rebecca

How Oklahoma is training its workforce to leverage AI.

0:40:8.210 --> 0:40:21.70

Cai, Rebecca

Ohh launched a course providing fundamental AI skills training to residents who not only for the government employees to 10,000 people at the time they designed to create an agile workforce.

0:40:22.100 --> 0:40:24.620 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, essential course AI essential course. 0:40:24.660 --> 0:40:25.470 Cai, Rebecca Ohh, they uh.

0:40:25.480 --> 0:40:30.670

Cai, Rebecca

We can even make this mandatory, like the project management would be a critical part of it.

0:40:30.720 --> 0:40:34.680 Cai, Rebecca Steve, like uh, how do we use it?

0:40:35.370 --> 0:40:35.680 Cai, Rebecca Uh.

0:40:36.70 --> 0:40:39.160 Cai, Rebecca Between state of Colombia, comma better use of AI.

0:40:41.530 --> 0:40:48.140

Cai, Rebecca

Potentially mitigating that impact, the workforce gaps reaching the guy, offering a new free AI essentials course.

0:40:49.950 --> 0:40:51.340 Cai, Rebecca Uh, it will launch parties.

0:40:51.350 --> 0:40:53.280 Cai, Rebecca Learn how to responsibly use AI.

0:40:54.950 --> 0:40:55.500 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:40:55.510 --> 0:40:57.420 Cai, Rebecca I will talk to you. Yes. 0:40:58.480 --> 0:40:59.810 Sakamoto, Steve M. No, no, that that, that sounds good.

0:40:59.820 --> 0:41:1.510 Sakamoto, Steve M. That that's the idea offered this training.

0:41:1.520 --> 0:41:3.140 Sakamoto, Steve M. Well, the employees, that's great.

0:41:3.800 --> 0:41:4.550 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:41:4.560 --> 0:41:6.10 Cai, Rebecca Let me reach out to Oklahoma.

0:41:6.900 --> 0:41:10.870 Cai, Rebecca I have their uh chief data officers contact information.

0:41:10.880 --> 0:41:11.750 Cai, Rebecca I'll reach out to them.

0:41:12.200 --> 0:41:15.490 Cai, Rebecca You see no degree or prior AI experience required to participate.

0:41:16.410 --> 0:41:18.360 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, this is like a literacy training.

0:41:18.550 --> 0:41:18.780 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:41:18.790 --> 0:41:20.660 Cai, Rebecca Let me let me reach out to Oklahoma. 0:41:20.710 --> 0:41:21.220 Cai, Rebecca Uh.

0:41:21.470 --> 0:41:24.180 Cai, Rebecca Chief data officer and to.

0:41:26.810 --> 0:41:31.730 Cai, Rebecca To get more insights on this, see how we can develop something similar.

0:41:32.610 --> 0:41:38.800 Cai, Rebecca So Oklahoma Governor has a broader strategy on positions positioning state as a national leader in Al.

0:41:40.110 --> 0:41:41.90 Cai, Rebecca Yeah. Mm-hmm.

0:41:44.180 --> 0:41:45.530 Cai, Rebecca You have to do so the states.

0:41:45.680 --> 0:41:46.270 Cai, Rebecca

Yeah.

0:41:46.340 --> 0:41:50.530 Cai, Rebecca So I will reach out to them as my action item on that king.

0:41:52.310 --> 0:41:52.830 Cai, Rebecca I'm check.

0:41:52.840 --> 0:41:53.860 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, yes. 0:41:52.700 --> 0:41:54.550 Thomas Lee Hey, Rebecca, this is Thomas.

0:41:55.990 --> 0:42:4.130

Thomas Lee

As was mentioned in the the Hawaii data and AI Summit, Finland did create an open source elements of AI.

0:42:4.140 --> 0:42:8.290 Thomas Lee I'll I'll post a link in the the chat, so I I just I started it.

0:42:8.300 --> 0:42:12.910 Thomas Lee I'm I'm only a couple of modules in, but it's not difficult to understand.

0:42:13.240 --> 0:42:16.510 Thomas Lee It's a little theoretical, but there's a lot of applied examples.

0:42:16.520 --> 0:42:31.510

Thomas Lee

So in the absence of the time and the resources to create something Hawaii specific, this might be a way to introduce this topic to the masses, both in in the citizens and also the civil the civil service sector.

0:42:32.520 --> 0:42:32.920 Cai, Rebecca Awesome.

0:42:34.30 --> 0:42:35.210 Cai, Rebecca I will look into that as well.

0:42:36.30 --> 0:42:37.560 Cai, Rebecca So maybe we can have two tiers.

0:42:37.610 --> 0:42:44.200

Cai, Rebecca

One is mandatory, you know literacy training for everyone, and then we can have a deeper training.

0:42:44.550 --> 0:42:45.160 Cai, Rebecca Yep.

0:42:45.550 --> 0:42:46.420 Cai, Rebecca Thank you, Thomas.

0:42:46.610 --> 0:42:47.670 Cai, Rebecca Look into that, yeah.

0:42:50.140 --> 0:42:51.230 Cai, Rebecca Alright, thank you.

0:42:51.240 --> 0:42:52.890 Cai, Rebecca So we're 45 minutes.

0:42:53.340 --> 0:42:56.580 Cai, Rebecca Now let's talk about this standard resolution 69.

0:42:59.480 --> 0:43:6.510 Cai, Rebecca This one is asking asking the ETS to several things right objectives.

0:43:7.40 --> 0:43:12.330 Cai, Rebecca One is to increase the public data sets available to the general public.

0:43:12.400 --> 0:43:17.720 Cai, Rebecca Make more data open like open by default is the policy of many states, right?

0:43:18.220 --> 0:43:26.150 Cai, Rebecca How can we increase the data sets available and 2nd is centralized all datasets from all state departments. 0:43:26.500 --> 0:43:29.480 Cai, Rebecca Now we could have data sets, some open datasets.

0:43:29.700 --> 0:43:35.760 Cai, Rebecca Ohh, one departments you know website related to that department operation.

0:43:35.990 --> 0:43:39.330 Cai, Rebecca Some datasets could be another department related to their operation.

0:43:39.340 --> 0:43:41.50 Cai, Rebecca Again, just like the islands, right?

0:43:41.390 --> 0:43:50.320

Cai, Rebecca

And they are all the data sets are all provided by their departments related to their operation, which is right?

0:43:50.790 --> 0:43:57.120

Cai, Rebecca

But how can we make it easier for the citizen when they need to cross different departments so they can instead of?

0:43:57.130 --> 0:44:2.50 Cai, Rebecca I need to think about, hey, this is a open data related to which department is at.

0:44:2.120 --> 0:44:6.890

Cai, Rebecca

Ohh relate to this department and the search which department it might be and then I go to the website to find it.

0:44:7.0 --> 0:44:10.190 Cai, Rebecca They can just have a central location to look for that information.

0:44:10.600 --> 0:44:17.650

Cai, Rebecca

The third one is to keep it up to date and to improve the accuracy and the recency of the open data.

0:44:18.240 --> 0:44:26.330 Cai, Rebecca So with that objective in mind, there are several issues exist today, right and mentioned in this Senate resolution.

0:44:26.600 --> 0:44:29.880 Cai, Rebecca One is a certain datasets and not in usable forms.

0:44:31.810 --> 0:44:33.280 Cai, Rebecca A lot of data is open.

0:44:33.290 --> 0:44:37.680 Cai, Rebecca Data is in dashboards now very transparent to the users, right?

0:44:37.690 --> 0:44:44.520

Cai, Rebecca

I know the dashboards provided by Debat by Doctor Tim's team and the provided Hawaiian data collaborative, right?

0:44:44.730 --> 0:44:50.920 Cai, Rebecca But some data sets are probably in, umm, not in such easy to use forms.

0:44:50.930 --> 0:45:0.980

Cai, Rebecca

How can we improve that the second one is the data sets need to be requested from individual departments instead of readily available at the central Open Data portal.

0:45:1.450 --> 0:45:6.30 Cai, Rebecca So this is another resolution is asking us to be able to.

0:45:7.80 --> 0:45:12.590 Cai, Rebecca Ohh proactively make the data available instead of waiting for people to request it.

0:45:13.160 --> 0:45:18.280

Cai, Rebecca

How can we make that happen and some user required to visit individual department websites?

0:45:18.290 --> 0:45:20.330 Cai, Rebecca That's again, it's about the silos, right?

0:45:20.340 --> 0:45:29.470

Cai, Rebecca

Each department has their own data, so the recommendation by this and the resolution is to uh again, increase the available data sets.

0:45:29.480 --> 0:45:30.430 Cai, Rebecca More data open.

0:45:30.920 --> 0:45:45.170 Cai, Rebecca

Expand brands and death of data types provide consistent, efficient process for user to access and retrieve all all State Department data, the departmental data and centralized all open datasets for all the state departments.

0:45:45.560 --> 0:45:52.140 Cai, Rebecca Study and assess the successful Open data portal of other States and the major municipal.

0:45:52.960 --> 0:46:3.350 Cai, Rebecca Including City of Chicago, so out of all this, I think the most of those bullet points are related to, I think the data owners.

0:46:3.750 --> 0:46:22.330

Cai, Rebecca

We need the data owners support to be able to identify more data sets that could be open data and to ensure that we can update them, keep them up to date and improve the accuracy of it, and to make sure that they are published on the central data set.

0:46:22.880 --> 0:46:30.820 Cai, Rebecca On the technical side, on the ETS side, what we need to do is ensure this platform, this open data platform.

0:46:31.80 --> 0:46:55.830 Cai, Rebecca It's easy for departments to publish data, and it's easy for them to check on accuracy of the data and to visualize the data, and also the last bullet points right for our team to study and assess the open data portals of other States and cities to make sure that we have the best practice and have a plan to improve it.

0:46:56.660 --> 0:46:57.370 Cai, Rebecca Ohm.

0:46:57.440 --> 0:47:4.180 Cai, Rebecca So before here I was achieve the officer of New York State, right, the New York State Executive Order, UM asking for open data.

0:47:5.970 --> 0:47:24.800

Cai, Rebecca

They were able to keep the open data up to date because one of the key reason was because there is a designated Open data coordinator of each department, so that person would oversee all the open data for that department in addition to.

0:47:24.810 --> 0:47:31.640

Cai, Rebecca

Normally it's the either the data lead or someone who is working in something related to data.

0:47:32.490 --> 0:47:36.780 Cai, Rebecca For example, Deepak, Deepak would be someone from Doctor Tans team, right?

0:47:37.190 --> 0:47:45.340 Cai, Rebecca And so with that person knows all the agencies within

And so with that person knows all the agencies within the department, all the divisions within the departments.

0:47:45.650 --> 0:47:49.100 Cai, Rebecca So that person would be able to ensure that we.

0:47:50.520 --> 0:47:51.810 Cai, Rebecca Protect the privacy. 0:47:51.820 --> 0:47:53.190 Cai, Rebecca Protect the security.

0:47:53.460 --> 0:47:56.850 Cai, Rebecca In the meantime, we can make more data available to confirm.

0:47:56.860 --> 0:48:9.540

Cai, Rebecca

What are the data sets that should be available and ensure the update so I wonder how what are the action items here that we can ensure that we are making this happen the Senate resolution?

0:48:12.640 --> 0:48:20.470 Cai, Rebecca I would of the love to hear your feedback or suggestions because like increase available data sets, how can we do that?

0:48:21.60 --> 0:48:30.210

Cai, Rebecca

Because we don't own the data and we own the platform where we can make it easy to make the data available.

0:48:30.290 --> 0:48:43.340 Cai, Rebecca But how can we make a list of data sets that agreed by departments that we would be available to the public and we track the progress you know?

0:48:43.350 --> 0:48:44.960 Cai, Rebecca Are they being updated every month?

0:48:44.970 --> 0:48:49.520 Cai, Rebecca Every quarter, things like that and make it easy automate the process.

0:48:50.630 --> 0:48:52.160 Cai, Rebecca How can we make that happen? 0:48:52.530 --> 0:48:59.340 Cai, Rebecca I my first thought is to have a designated data DSL from each department.

0:48:59.490 --> 0:49:0.820 Cai, Rebecca I don't know how to make that happen.

0:49:2.230 --> 0:49:6.270 Cai, Rebecca Do we have the power the data task force to recommend that?

0:49:8.100 --> 0:49:8.540 Cai, Rebecca Or.

0:49:10.460 --> 0:49:18.740

Cai, Rebecca

Would you be able to recommend each department you know assign a person to be the open data coordinator?

0:49:26.800 --> 0:49:27.460 Amy Perruso I think we do.

0:49:28.530 --> 0:49:28.920 Cai, Rebecca We do.

0:49:29.940 --> 0:49:30.610 Cai, Rebecca Awesome.

0:49:30.800 --> 0:49:31.350 Cai, Rebecca Who was that?

0:49:31.360 --> 0:49:31.860 Cai, Rebecca I'm sorry, is that? 0:49:32.470 --> 0:49:33.200 Amy Perruso I think so.

0:49:33.210 --> 0:49:34.40 Amy Perruso This is Amy.

0:49:34.910 --> 0:49:35.670 Cai, Rebecca Oh, awesome.

0:49:34.470 --> 0:49:38.0 Amy Perruso I mean, I I honestly think that we should act as though we do.

0:49:38.10 --> 0:49:40.340 Amy Perruso And then you know, if we get pushed back then.

0:49:42.750 --> 0:49:44.80 Amy Perruso Then we'll respond to the pushback.

0:49:44.90 --> 0:49:50.770

Amy Perruso But why haven't data task force if there's no capacity to work with the departments and agencies?

0:49:52.170 --> 0:49:52.660 Cai, Rebecca Awesome.

0:49:53.170 --> 0:49:53.630 Cai, Rebecca I love that.

0:49:54.730 --> 0:49:55.160 Cai, Rebecca Awesome. 0:49:55.230 --> 0:50:12.150

Cai, Rebecca

So how do we what's the form is we should use to communicate that request that ask should we add the data task force we send a message out to all the department heads or what would it be the right channel that we should do that?

0:50:13.940 --> 0:50:15.590 Sandra Furuto - UH A high Rebecca, this is Sandra.

0:50:16.370 --> 0:50:16.590 Cai, Rebecca Uh-huh.

0:50:17.480 --> 0:50:29.940

Sandra Furuto - UH

All I think call we had an open data initiative a while back and I thought each agency had a representative designated some point.

0:50:34.100 --> 0:50:34.540 Cai, Rebecca OK.

0:50:34.900 --> 0:50:35.810 Sandra Furuto - UH I think this is.

0:50:35.980 --> 0:50:41.320 Sandra Furuto - UH I'm not sure if this is the one that's open datathoughthawaii.gov.

0:50:42.700 --> 0:50:43.820 Cai, Rebecca Yes it is.

0:50:44.180 --> 0:50:44.810 Sandra Furuto - UH OK.

0:50:44.940 --> 0:50:49.400 Sandra Furuto - UH And I thought there was a designee per department. 0:50:50.750 --> 0:51:0.30 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, we didn't find that the the policy or the law, whatever mandating it. Ohh.

0:51:3.480 --> 0:51:4.610 Cai, Rebecca Let me do more research.

0:51:4.620 --> 0:51:11.80 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, if we can find it, like, uh representative prusso suggested, we can just uh suggest one.

0:51:12.240 --> 0:51:17.30 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, because in order to make this happen, we do need the cause departments.

0:51:17.40 --> 0:51:17.770 Cai, Rebecca They own the data.

0:51:18.990 --> 0:51:28.740

Cai, Rebecca

We need their expertise to help to identify the data sets and to keep them updated and whatever, yes.

0:51:27.500 --> 0:51:31.570 Mai T NguyenVan Hi, Rebecca, this is my from the judiciary.

0:51:31.30 --> 0:51:32.290 Cai, Rebecca Yes, yes.

0:51:31.720 --> 0:51:35.470 Mai T NguyenVan So I think the request needs to be made at a policy level.

0:51:36.120 --> 0:51:36.660 Cai, Rebecca Ohh. 0:51:47.810 --> 0:51:48.440 Cai, Rebecca Oh.

0:51:36.100 --> 0:51:54.740 Mai T NguyenVan I mean, because if you ask sc

I mean, because if you ask somebody and they give you just a person that doesn't not have authority to say this is should be available to the public or not and they provide basically information they may not really have the authority to provide the information, right.

0:51:54.750 --> 0:52:7.580

Mai T NguyenVan

So I think there needs to be a a policy or somebody at a higher level that can determine what is the information that will be shared and moved into that portal or whatever centralized repository.

0:52:15.560 --> 0:52:15.830 Cai, Rebecca Umm.

0:52:7.920 --> 0:52:27.590

Mai T NguyenVan

And then make the decision whether that is something that the department at a high level would agree to it because the lower level staff who you know, if you start straight with a working group with a lower level staff, they may not have the authority to say that, Oh yeah, this data should be available to the public.

0:52:30.380 --> 0:52:35.670

Mai T NguyenVan

And I think a good start, I mean a good place to start would be what is already publicly available.

0:52:36.0 --> 0:52:45.630 Mai T NguyenVan And that could be maybe a good start, but umm, I think each department probably have their own data governance or even lack of data governance.

0:52:45.800 --> 0:53:11.180

Mai T NguyenVan

So if a a company a, a department doesn't have data governance and a good handle of what they already letting data be publicly available and publicly available is a little different when it's like 1 record at a time versus a database that can be searched, some department

probably don't have that awareness and I'm going to give an example of what the judiciary has encountered.

0:53:11.190 --> 0:53:25.970

Mai T NguyenVan

We've made a lot of data available through our site equal kokua, but with that now more public search things then when they search things, well now law enforcement can see that people can find out about the cars that law enforcement is driving.

0:53:25.980 --> 0:53:29.630 Mai T NguyenVan So now there are some undercover.

0:53:29.720 --> 0:53:34.750 Mai T NguyenVan Right, because now we're hiding the the tickets, the parking tickets for Lawrence.

0:53:34.800 --> 0:53:47.750 Mai T NguyenVan So there is been kind of an evolution to easily searchable data to even though it may be public, it's a little different once it becomes readily available with also data scraping.

0:53:48.930 --> 0:53:49.650 Cai, Rebecca Hmm.

0:53:57.900 --> 0:53:58.830 Bannister, Susan Again, we have a.

0:53:49.190 --> 0:53:59.90 Mai T NguyenVan So I I think especially for department that don't really have uh databases that are already searchable from their website, they may actually have a harder time.

0:54:2.370 --> 0:54:2.610 Bannister, Susan Sorry.

0:54:2.190 --> 0:54:5.80 Mai T NguyenVan Oh, let me have a harder time. 0:54:5.90 --> 0:54:13.660 Mai T NguyenVan Basically sharing that information and if they identify somebody and probably isn't somebody at a high level enough to be able to make this type of decision.

0:54:13.670 --> 0:54:19.200 Mai T NguyenVan So just something to kind of think about before we go and drill down directly into data.

0:54:19.210 --> 0:54:22.370 Mai T NguyenVan So, you know, like detailed data elements.

0:54:21.770 --> 0:54:23.370 Cai, Rebecca Hmm hmm.

0:54:25.460 --> 0:54:26.170 Cai, Rebecca Yeah.

0:54:26.720 --> 0:54:29.950 Cai, Rebecca Ohh what could be the next step?

0:54:29.960 --> 0:54:31.770

Cai, Rebecca I I think there are several asks right?

0:54:31.860 --> 0:54:38.450

Cai, Rebecca

One is a portal readiness, the study, open data portals and investigate ways to improve the portal.

0:54:38.680 --> 0:54:39.770 Cai, Rebecca That's what we can do.

0:54:40.0 --> 0:54:44.420 Cai, Rebecca I take ownership on that and the data set readiness one is about. 0:54:44.430 --> 0:54:46.870 Cai, Rebecca I think the one is the number one.

0:54:46.880 --> 0:54:49.260 Cai, Rebecca Here is the more challenging.

0:54:51.120 --> 0:54:53.300 Cai, Rebecca Like like my like you mentioned, right?

0:54:53.440 --> 0:55:11.930 Cai, Rebecca We need uh, the authorized the departmental heads to identify what are the additional data sets, but actually the improved the current quality, the recency of the existing open data and put them in the centralized location, maybe that's something that they can do now.

0:55:12.300 --> 0:55:22.340 Cai, Rebecca It's easy to do, and in the meantime the next step would be identify additional datasets, expand the breadth and depth of the data types.

0:55:27.480 --> 0:55:27.990 Cai, Rebecca What do you think?

0:55:28.710 --> 0:55:29.40 Mai T NguyenVan Yeah.

0:55:29.50 --> 0:55:43.380 Mai T NguyenVan I think if if you restrict it to existing data and separate the additional data set from the request then you know it's already grandfathered in that it's available in the data portal.

0:55:43.390 --> 0:55:50.200 Mai T NguyenVan So you can have, you know, a team to kind of figure out how to refresh and improve the data quality.

0:55:50.350 --> 0:56:19.860 Mai T NguyenVan But once you start adding to the data set, umm, I think each department may have its own challenges to identify what they would feel comfortable adding to the portal and and at that point, you know, you know, I think it needs to have a different forum to work through, because I think it's the lower level can come up with a data set, but it needs to be approved by their management.

0:56:20.830 --> 0:56:21.110 Cai, Rebecca Umm.

0:56:21.210 --> 0:56:23.290 Mai T NguyenVan Umm, because they have to assess the impact.

0:56:24.810 --> 0:56:26.150 Cai, Rebecca Hmm, got it.

0:56:26.160 --> 0:56:26.530 Cai, Rebecca OK.

0:56:26.600 --> 0:56:29.360 Cai, Rebecca So one and two in the data set readiness here.

0:56:29.370 --> 0:56:31.530 Cai, Rebecca That's, uh, require the leadership.

0:56:31.540 --> 0:56:35.390 Cai, Rebecca Departmental leadership involvement IS3456.

0:56:36.120 --> 0:56:38.650 Cai, Rebecca You know it's about the existing data sets.

0:56:42.220 --> 0:56:42.400 Mai T NguyenVan Bye. 0:56:38.700 --> 0:56:47.110 Cai, Rebecca That's, you know, we probably can ask some departments to come up with a one person, like a represent the personal set.

0:56:47.120 --> 0:56:51.390 Cai, Rebecca We can ask for a person to handle the 3456 for now.

0:56:51.720 --> 0:56:57.460 Cai, Rebecca In the meantime, for one and two to make it the policy, we have to wait for the next cycle, right?

0:56:57.470 --> 0:56:58.50 Cai, Rebecca Make it policy.

0:57:5.200 --> 0:57:6.900 Cai, Rebecca Do we need the policy for one and two?

0:57:13.510 --> 0:57:14.290 Mai T NguyenVan I don't know if we need to.

0:57:13.730 --> 0:57:14.850 Amy Perruso I mean, this is interesting.

0:57:15.900 --> 0:57:16.380 Amy Perruso Sorry, go ahead.

0:57:17.110 --> 0:57:32.540

Mai T NguyenVan

While I was gonna say umm, I don't know if we can have a policy yet as much as maybe start a discussion with the department heads as whether they are willing and ready to start expanding the data set.

0:57:35.480 --> 0:57:40.950 Mai T NguyenVan And we may have varying I guess readiness level from the departments. 0:57:41.530 --> 0:57:41.770 Cai, Rebecca OK.

0:57:41.780 --> 0:57:45.730 Mai T NguyenVan So policy is is if, as long as it's a goal, yes.

0:57:45.740 --> 0:57:54.780 Mai T NguyenVan But I think as far as readiness we, we may encounter more challenges in trying to achieve a policy if it's the same across the board.

0:57:55.920 --> 0:57:56.140 Cai, Rebecca OK.

0:57:57.190 --> 0:57:57.780 Cai, Rebecca Got it.

0:57:58.40 --> 0:58:2.210 Cai, Rebecca Yeah, as I think someone else, we're seeing something earlier as well.

0:58:5.740 --> 0:58:6.550 Cai, Rebecca Any other feedback?

0:58:11.550 --> 0:58:11.920 Cai, Rebecca OK.

0:58:11.990 --> 0:58:20.960 Cai, Rebecca So maybe we as the data task force, can craft a message to departmental heads to referring to the Senate resolution 69.

0:58:21.130 --> 0:58:34.120

Cai, Rebecca

We asked for department heads to the support with the person to 1st handle item 3456 here working on the existing.

0:58:34.270 --> 0:58:45.490 Cai, Rebecca In the meantime, ask them for their advice on what would be the best approach to identify additional data sets and expand breadth and depth of the data types for their departmental data.

0:58:47.230 --> 0:58:47.910 Cai, Rebecca Does that make sense?

0:58:53.310 --> 0:58:55.40 Cai, Rebecca Yes, I can see you.

0:58:55.350 --> 0:58:57.310 Cai, Rebecca If you're not in your head or shaking your heads.

0:59:1.400 --> 0:59:2.10 Sakamoto, Steve M. Make sense?

0:59:2.810 --> 0:59:5.180 Cai, Rebecca OK, let's do that as a data task force.

0:59:5.190 --> 0:59:5.770 Cai, Rebecca OK, perfect.

0:59:5.780 --> 0:59:7.240 Cai, Rebecca Thank you so much for your support on this.

0:59:8.490 --> 0:59:13.820 Cai, Rebecca Alright, so next meeting, we're going to give updates on those policies.

0:59:13.910 --> 0:59:17.100 Cai, Rebecca We will have the policies review being reviewed. 0:59:17.150 --> 0:59:24.240 Cai, Rebecca Keep in mind, equity probably is a preliminary version because White House is still updating something on the disability.

0:59:24.510 --> 0:59:35.160 Cai, Rebecca Really related data equity to to to serve database disability and the action items update.

0:59:35.220 --> 0:59:44.510

Cai, Rebecca

Hopefully we will get some feedback from departments based on the letter and I will reach out to you on the draft message to the department heads.

0:59:45.20 --> 0:59:45.590 Cai, Rebecca All right.

0:59:45.640 --> 0:59:46.410 Cai, Rebecca So that will be it.

0:59:46.880 --> 0:59:47.530 Cai, Rebecca Thank you so much.

0:59:47.540 --> 0:59:49.370 Cai, Rebecca And I think we're running two minutes over.

0:59:49.700 --> 0:59:51.160 Cai, Rebecca So thank you so much for your time today.

0:59:52.60 --> 0:59:52.420 Sakamoto, Steve M. Thank you.

0:59:53.210 --> 0:59:53.580 Cai, Rebecca Thank you. 0:59:53.590 --> 0:59:55.170 Cai, Rebecca Thank you. Bye.